2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Collaborative Forest Restoration
Program Technical Advisory Panel

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 12:30:20 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Agriculture 2018

3b. GSA Committee

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Collaborative Forest Restoration Program Technical Advisory

Panel

10760

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 07/14/2016 07/14/2018

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

No

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

13. 14.

12. Specific Establishment Authority Effective Committee ____

Date Type

Presidential?

Community Forest Restoration Act (Pub. L.

No.106-393, Title VI, Section 606)

10/30/2000 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports

16b. Report

Report Title

Date

CollaborativeForest Restoration Program Technical Advisory Panel 2018

07/24/2018 Report and Meeting Minutes

Number of Committee Reports Listed: 1

17a. Open 1 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 1

Meetings and Dates

 Purpose
 Start
 End

 Grant review
 04/30/2018
 - 05/02/2018

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00

18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$40,171.00	\$50,000.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$7,867.00	\$10,000.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$2,110.89	\$5,000.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$1,243.11	\$5,000.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$5,000.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$462.00	\$1,000.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$2,419.00	\$5,000.00
18d. Total	\$54,273.00	\$81,000.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.50	0.50

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The scope and objectives are to evaluate proposals for forest restoration grants and provide recommendations to the Secretary of Agriculture regarding which proposals best meet the objectives of the Collaborative Forest Restoration Program (CFRP). The duties and responsibilities of the Panel are to consider the proposed projects' effects on long-term management and provide recommendations regarding which proposals best meet the following objectives pursuant to section 605 of the Act: reduce the threat of large, high-intensity wildfires; reestablish fire regimes; preserve old and large trees; replant trees in deforested areas; improve the use of small diameter trees; comply with federal and state environmental laws; include a diverse and balanced group of stakeholders; incorporate current scientific forest restoration information; create a plan for reporting, upon project completion, on the positive or negative impact and effectiveness of each project including improvements in local management skills and on-the-ground results; create local employment or training opportunities.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Specific agencies, organizations and interests represented on the Panel are as follows: a state natural resources official from the state of New Mexico; at least two representatives from federal land management agencies; at least one tribal or pueblo representative; at least two independent scientists with experience in forest ecosystem restoration; and equal representation from conservation interests, local communities and commodity interests.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Estimated Number of Meetings per Year: 1-3. Estimated Total Meetings: 3-6. In 2018 the Panel reviewed 15 grant applications totaling \$4,959,494 and prepared a report for the Secretary prioritizing the applications for funding based on the degree to which they met the program objectives in three catetories: utilization; planning; and implementation. The Panel also assigned a subcommittee the task of reviewing multi-party assessments from

completed Collaborative Forest Restoration Program projects to identify lessons learned that would inform and improve the Panel's proposal review process.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is essential because designing forest and watershed restoration projects using a collaborative approach reduces conflict and facilitates cross jurisdictional planning and project implementation consistent with the Secretaries all lands approach.

Cost-effective projects that improve the utilization of small diameter trees from restoration activities creates local jobs and the private sector capacity that is critical to accomplishing forest restoration at a landscape scale. Collaborative restoration projects empower diverse organizations to implement activities which value local and traditional knowledge; build ownership and civic pride; and ensure healthy, diverse, and productive forests and watersheds. Advice of the committee is not available from other sources because only such a committee can provide an environment where interest groups that have a stake in forest management issues can work towards agreement on how forest restoration should occur on public land in New Mexico, with the grant proposals as the focus of the discussion. The grants fund diverse and balanced groups of stakeholders that work collaboratively with Federal, Tribal, State, County, and Municipal governments to plan, implement, and monitor forest restoration projects on public land in New Mexico.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? All meetings are open to the public.

21. Remarks

Eight of the nine current Panel members attended the 2018 CFRP Technical Advisory Panel meeting. The Tribal representative was not available to attend. Two seats for representatives of Conservation Interests and one seat for a Federal Land Management Agency representative are vacant.

Designated Federal Officer

Walter W. Dunn Collaborative Forest Restoration Program Manager

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Cambell, Stephen	07/25/2016	07/25/2019	Navajo County Extension Forester, University of Arizona	Representative Member
Hurteau, Mathew	07/25/2016	07/25/2019	Professor of Biology, University of New Mexico	Representative Member
Kuydendall, Sara	07/25/2016	07/25/2018	Kuuykendall and Sons Lumber	Representative Member
Nystrom, Krystyn	07/25/2016	07/25/2018	Wildfire Network	Representative Member
Old, Shiloh	07/25/2016	07/25/2018	Vice President, International Operations, Old Wood	Representative Member
Sanchez, John	07/25/2016	07/25/2018	Chair, New Mexico Land Grant Council	Representative Member

Environmental Specialist Program Manager, Suina, Phoebe 07/25/2016 07/25/2018

Cochiti Pueblo

Representative Member

Watson, Mark

07/25/2016 07/25/2019

Terrestrial Habitat Specialist, NM Dept. of Game

Representative Member

Zipperer, Constance

07/25/2016 07/25/2019

Supervisory Grants Management Specialist, USDARegular Government Employee Forest Service

(RGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 9

Narrative Description

The Collaborative Forest Restoration Program (CFRP) Technical Advisory Panel evaluates grant proposals for forest restoration projects on public forestland that include a diverse and balanced group of stakeholders. The Panel uses a consensus-based process to develop recommendations for the Secretary on which proposals best meet the objectives of the Program. The Panel provides a venue for key stakeholders with an interest in forest restoration and small diameter tree utilization to develop agreement on how to accomplish those tasks on public forestland. The Panel improves communication and joint problem solving among individuals and groups who are interested in restoring the diversity and productivity of forested watersheds in New Mexico and encourages sustainable communities and sustainable forest though collaborative partnerships. This effort supports the agency mission to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the Nation's forests to meet the needs of present and future generations.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
mprovements to health or safety	✓
Trust in government	✓
Major policy changes	✓
Advance in scientific research	✓
Effective grant making	✓
mproved service delivery	✓
ncreased customer satisfaction	✓
mplementation of laws or regulatory requirements	✓
Other	

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

\cap haal	امما	:£ /	۱	1: ~ ~
Chec	ĸeu	<i> -</i>	uu۶	illes

None

Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

NA

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

548

Number of Recommendations Comments

Since 2001, the CFRP Technical Advisory Panel has reviewed 548 proposals and recommended funding for 213 project grants totaling \$68.7 million to Tribes, businesses, NGOs, schools and universities, and state and local governments.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

100%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Since 2001 the Secretary of Agriculture has approved all of the committee's funding recommendations. On June 26, 2018 the Southwestern Regional Forester submitted the 2018 CFRP Panel project funding recommendations to the Forest Service Washington Office for transmittal to the Secretary. The Secretary approved those recommendations on August 21, 2018.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NA

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to
implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes 🗸	No	Not Applicable
163	140	Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

The Chairman and DFO begin each Panel meeting with a briefing on program accomplishments. Panel members also attend an annual workshop with grant recipients to discuss accomplishments and lessons learned from project implementation. A Subcommittee reviews mulit-party assessement reports from completed projects and provides recommendations to the Panel on what those reports indicate about past projects that could inform the evaluation of future project proposals.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	✓
Reallocated resources	✓
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	✓
Approved grants or other payments	✓
Other	

Action Comments

NA

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval	15
What is the estimated Number of grants recommended for approval	10
What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval	\$3,420,132

Grant Review Comments

In 2018 the CFRP Panel reviewed and scored 15 grant applications totaling \$4,959,494 in Federal requests. Panel members considered information presented during the public comment periods and then conducted a consistency review of their comments and scores for each application. On July 20 the Panel Chairman approved the list recommending 10 CFRP projects totaling \$3,232,380 (the estimated funding available for CFRP grants in fiscal year 2018 at the time of the Panel meeting) and prioritized an additional project should additional funding become available.

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	✓
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	✓
Other	

Access Comments

Not applicable.